arXiv:1506.00369v1 [math.FA] 1 Jun 2015

ON PROPERTIES OF MULTIPLICATION AND COMPOSITION OPERATORS BETWEEN ORLICZ SPACES

Y. ESTAREMI, S. MAGHSODI AND I. RAHMANI

Abstract. In this paper, we study bounded and closed range multiplication and composition operators between two different Orlicz spaces.

1. **Preliminaries and Introduction**

The continuous convex function $\Phi : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is called a Young function whenever

(1) $\Phi(x) = 0$ if and only if $x = 0$.
(2) $\Phi(x) = \Phi(-x)$.
(3) $\lim_{x\to\infty} \frac{\Phi(x)}{x} = \infty$, $\lim_{x\to\infty} \Phi(x) = \infty$.

With each Young function Φ one can associate another convex function $\Psi : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^+$ having similar properties, which is defined by

$$\Psi(y) = \sup\{x|y| - \Phi(x) : x \geq 0\}, \quad y \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Then Ψ is called the complementary Young function of Φ. A Young function Φ is said to satisfy the $\triangle_2$ condition (globally) if $\Phi(2x) \leq k\Phi(x),\ x \geq x_0 \geq 0\ \ (x_0 = 0)$ for some constant $k > 0$. Also, Φ is said to satisfy the $\triangle'(\bigtriangledown')$ condition, if $\exists c > 0$ $(b > 0)$ such that

$$\Phi(xy) \leq c\Phi(x)\Phi(y), \quad x, y \geq x_0 \geq 0$$

$$(\Phi(bxy) \geq \Phi(x)\Phi(y), \quad x, y \geq y_0 \geq 0).$$

If $x_0 = 0 (y_0 = 0)$, then these conditions are said to hold globally. If $\Phi \in \triangle'$, then $\Phi \in \triangle_2$.

Let Φ_1, Φ_2 be two Young functions, then Φ_1 is stronger than Φ_2, $\Phi_1 \succ \Phi_2$ [or $\Phi_2 \prec \Phi_1$] in symbols, if

$$\Phi_2(x) \leq \Phi_1(ax), \quad x \geq x_0 \geq 0$$

for some $a \geq 0$ and x_0, if $x_0 = 0$ then this condition is said to hold globally.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 47B47, 47B33.

Key words and phrases. Multiplication operator, Composition operator, Closed range operator, Fredholm operator.

Let (X,Σ,μ) be a σ-finite complete measure space and $L^0(\Sigma)$ be the linear space of all equivalence classes of Σ-measurable functions on X, that is, we identify any two functions that are equal μ-almost everywhere on X. The support of a measurable function f is defined as $S(f)=\{x\in X; f(x)\neq 0\}$. Let Φ is a Young function, then the set of $\Sigma-$measurable functions

$$L^{\Phi}(\Sigma)=\{f\in L^0(\Sigma):\exists k>0, \int_{\Omega}\Phi(kf)d\mu<\infty\}$$

is a Banach space, with respect to the norm $\|f\|_{\Phi}=\inf\{k>0:\int_{\Omega}\Phi(\frac{f}{k})d\mu\leq 1\}$. $(L^{\Phi}(\Sigma),\|.\|_{\Phi})$ is called an Orlicz space [7].

For a measurable function $u\in L^0(\Sigma)$, the rule taking u to $u.f$, is a linear transformation on $L^0(\Sigma)$ and we denote this transformation by M_u. In the case that M_u is continuous, it is called multiplication operator induced by u.

Let $T:X\to X$ be a measurable transformation, that is, $T^{-1}(A)\in\Sigma$ for any $A\in\Sigma$. If $\mu(T^{-1}(A))=0$ for all $A\in\Sigma$ with $\mu(A)=0$, then T is said to be nonsingular. This condition means that the measure $\mu\circ T^{-1}$, defined by $\mu\circ T^{-1}(A)=\mu(T^{-1}(A))$ for $A\in\Sigma$, is absolutely continuous with respect to the μ (it is usually denoted $\mu\circ T^{-1}\ll\mu$). The Radon-Nikodym theorem ensures the existence of a nonnegative locally integrable function f_0 on X such that, $\mu\circ T^{-1}(A)=\int_A f_0 d\mu$, $A\in\Sigma$. Any nonsingular measurable transformation T induces a linear operator (composition operator) C_T from $L^0(\Sigma)$ into itself defined by

$$C_T(f)(t)=f(T(t)) \quad ;t\in X, \quad f\in L^0(\Sigma).$$

Here the non-singularity of T guarantees that the operator C_T is well defined as a mapping from $L^0(\Sigma)$ into itself.

The composition and multiplication operators received considerable attention over the past several decades especially on some measurable function spaces such as L^P-spaces, Bergman spaces and a few ones on Orlicz spaces, such that these operators played an important role in the study of operators on Hilbert spaces. The multiplication and weighted composition operators are studied on Orlicz spaces in [4, 2]. Also, some results on boundedness of composition operators on Orlicz spaces, are obtained in [1, 5] (see also [6]). In this paper we investigate composition and multiplication operators on Orlicz spaces by considering closed range, Fredholm and invertible ones.

2. Bounded multiplication and composition operators

In this section first we recall that an Σ-atom of the measure μ is an element $A\in\Sigma$ with $\mu(A)>0$ such that for each $F\in\Sigma$, if $F\subseteq A$, then either $\mu(F)=0$ or $\mu(F)=\mu(A)$. A measure space (X,Σ,μ) with no atoms is called a non-atomic measure space [10]. It is well-known fact that every σ-finite measure space (X, Σ, μ) can be partitioned uniquely as $X = \left(\bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} A_n\right) \cup B$, where $\{A_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a countable collection of pairwise disjoint Σ-atoms and B, being disjoint from each A_n, is non-atomic. Also, in a σ-finite measure space all atoms have finite measure [10]. Here we recall a fundamental Lemma that is easy to prove.

Lemma 2.1. *Let Φ_i, $i = 1, 2, 3$, be Young's functions for which*

$$\Phi_3(xy) \le \Phi_1(x) + \Phi_2(y), \qquad x, y \ge 0,$$

If $f_i \in L^{\Phi_i}(\Sigma)$, $i = 1, 2$, where (X, Σ, μ) is a measure space, then

$$\|f_1 f_2\|_{\Phi_3} \le 2\|f_1\|_{\Phi_1}\|f_2\|_{\Phi_2}.$$

Here we give some necessary and sufficient conditions under which the multiplication operator M_u is bounded between different Orlicz space.

Theorem 2.2. *Let Φ_1 and Φ_2 be Young's functions such that $\Phi_1, \Phi_2 \in \triangle_2$ and $\Phi_2(xy) \le \Phi_1(x) + \Phi_3(y)$, $x \ge 0$, for some Young's function Φ_3. If $u \in L^{\Phi_3}(\Sigma)$, then u induces a bounded multiplication operator M_u from $L^{\Phi_1}(\Sigma)$ into $L^{\Phi_2}(\Sigma)$.*

Proof. Suppose that $u \in L^{\Phi_3}(\Sigma)$. Then by using Lemma 2.1, for every $f \in L^{\Phi_1}(\Sigma)$ we get;

$$\begin{aligned} ||M_u f||_{L^{\Phi_2}(\Sigma)} &= ||u.f||_{L^{\Phi_2}(\Sigma)} \\ &\le 2\|u\|_{L^{\Phi_3}(\Sigma)}\|f\|_{L^{\Phi_1}(\Sigma)}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence M_u is a bounded multiplication operator from $L^{\Phi_1}(\Sigma)$ into $L^{\Phi_2}(\Sigma)$, and

$$\|M_u\| \le 2\|u\|_{L^{\Phi_3}(\Sigma)}.$$

□

Theorem 2.3. *If M_u is bounded from $L^{\Phi_1}(\Sigma)$ into $L^{\Phi_2}(\Sigma)$ and $\Phi_1 \in \triangle'$. If $\Phi_3 = \Psi_2 \circ \Psi_1^{-1}$ is a Young's function, then $u \in L^{\Psi_3 \circ \Psi_1}$, where Ψ_i's are the complementary Young's functions of Φ_i for $i = 1, 2, 3$.*

Proof. Suppose that M_u is bounded. Hence the adjoint operator $(M_u)^* : L^{\Psi_2} \longrightarrow L^{\Psi_1}$ is also bounded. Since $\Phi_1 \in \triangle'$, then $\Psi_1 \in \nabla'$ and so there exists $b > 0$ such that for every $f \in L^{\Phi_3}(\Sigma)$ we have $\Psi_1^{-1}(f) \in L^{\Psi_2}(\Sigma)$ and so

$$\begin{aligned} \int_X \Psi_1(\overline{u}) f d\mu &= \int_X \Psi_1(\overline{u})\Psi_1(\Psi_1^{-1}(f))d\mu \\ &\le b\int_X \Psi_1(\overline{u}\Psi_1^{-1}(f))d\mu \\ &= b\int_X \Psi_1(M_u^*(\Psi_1^{-1}(f))d\mu < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

This means that $\Psi_1(\overline{u}) \in \text{Ł}^{\Psi_3}(\Sigma)$. In other words, $u \in L^{\Psi_3 \circ \Psi_1}(\Sigma)$. □

For the underlying non-atomic measure spaces we have an important assertion as follows, that states there is not any bounded multiplication and composition operator from $L^{\Phi_1}(\Sigma)$ to $L^{\Phi_2}(\Sigma)$ when $\Phi_2 \not< \Phi_1$.

Proposition 2.4. *Let $\Phi_2 \not< \Phi_1$ and (X, Σ, μ) be a non-atomic measure space, then there is no non-zero bounded operator $M_{u,T} = M_u C_T$ from $L^{\Phi_1}(\Sigma)$ to $L^{\Phi_2}(\Sigma)$.*

Proof. Suppose on the contrary, let $M_{u,T}$ be a non-zero bounded liner operator. Let

$$E_n = \{x \in X : |u(x)| > \frac{1}{n}\} \bigcap \{x \in X : |f_0(x)| > \frac{1}{n}\}.$$

Then $\{E_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is an increasing sequence of measurable sets. Since $M_{u,T}$ is non-zero, then $\mu(E_n) > 0$ for some $n \in N$. Suppose $F \subset E = \cup_n E_n$ with $\mu(F) < \infty$. Since $\Phi_2 \not< \Phi_1$, then there exists a sequence of positive numbers $\{y_n\}$ such that $y_n \uparrow \infty$ and $\Phi_2(y_n) > \Phi_1(2^n n^3 y_n)$. Since μ is non-atomic, we can find a disjoint sequence $\{F_n\}$ of measurable subsets of F such that $F_n \subseteq E_n$ and $\mu(F_n) = \frac{\Phi_1(y_1)\mu(F)}{2^n \Phi_1(n^3 y_n)}$. Let $f = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n \chi_{F_n}$, where $b_n = n^2 y_n$, then for $n_0 > \alpha$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_X \Phi_1(\alpha f) d\mu &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_X \Phi_1(\alpha b_n) \chi_{F_n} \\
&= \sum_{n=1}^{n_0} \Phi_1(\alpha . b_n) \mu(F_n) + \sum_{n \geq n_0} \Phi_1(\alpha . b_n) \mu(F_n) \\
&= \sum_{n=1}^{n_0} \Phi_1(\alpha . b_n) \mu(F_n) + \sum_{n \geq n_0} \frac{\Phi_1(\alpha . b_n) \phi_1(y_1)}{2^n \phi_1(n^3 y_n)} \\
&\leq \sum_{n=1}^{n_0} \Phi_1(\alpha . b_n) \mu(F_n) + \mu(F) \sum_{n \geq n_0} \frac{\Phi_1(n^3 y_n) \phi_1(y_1)}{2^n \phi_1(n^3 y_n)} < \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

This implies that $f \in L^{\Phi_1}(\Sigma)$. But for $m_0 > 0$ for which $\frac{1}{m_0} < \alpha$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_X \Phi_2(\alpha M_{u,T} f) d\mu &= \int_X f_0(x)\Phi_2(\alpha u.f) d\mu \\ &\geq \sum_{n\geq m_0} \int_{F_n} f_0(x)\Phi_2(\alpha u.b_n) d\mu \\ &\geq \sum_{n\geq m_0} \int_{F_n} \frac{1}{n}\Phi_2(\frac{1}{n^2}.b_n) d\mu \\ &\geq \sum_{n\geq m_0} \int_{F_n} \frac{1}{n}\Phi_2(y_n) d\mu \\ &\geq \sum_{n\geq m_0} \frac{1}{n}\Phi_1(2^n n^3 y_n)\mu(F_n) \\ &\geq \mu(F) \sum_{n\geq n_0} \frac{1}{n}\Phi_2(y_1) = \infty.\end{aligned}$$

Which contradicts boundedness of $M_{u,T}$. □

Lemma 2.5. *Let Φ_i, $i = 1, 2, 3$, be Young's functions for which*

$$\Phi_2(xy) \leq \Phi_1(x) + \Phi_3(y), \quad x, y \geq 0,$$

then $\Phi_1 \not< \Phi_2$.

Proof. It is easy to get that $\Phi_1^{-1}(x)\Phi_3^{-1}(x) \leq \Phi_2^{-1}(2x) \leq 2\Phi_2^{-1}(x)$, for all $x \geq 0$,. Suppose on the contrary, hence there exists $\delta > 0$ and $N > 0$ such that

$$\Phi_1(x) < \Phi_2(\delta x), \qquad \forall x \geq N.$$

Thus we have $\Phi_2^{-1}(\Phi_1(x)) < \delta x$ and so $\Phi_1^{-1}(\Phi_1(x))\Phi_3^{-1}(\Phi_1(x)) < 2\delta x$, $\forall x \geq N$. This implies that $\Phi_1(x) < \Phi_3(2\delta)$, for all $x \geq N$. This is a contradiction. □

The next Proposition is a main tools that we use in our investigation.

Proposition 2.6. *Suppose that $\Phi_2 \not< \Phi_1$ and $\Phi_2 \in \triangle_2$. If E is a non-atomic measurable set with $\mu(E) > 0$, then there exists $f \in L^{\Phi_1}(X)$ such that $f \notin L^{\Phi_2}(E)$.*

Proof. Suppose that $F \subset E$ and $\alpha = \mu(F) < \infty$. Since $\Phi_2 \not< \Phi_1$, then we can find a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X such that $x_n \uparrow \infty$ with $\Phi_2(|x_n|) > \Phi_1(n|x_n|)$. Let $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\alpha > \sum_{n\geq n_0} \frac{1}{n^2}$ and $\Phi_1(x_n) \geq 1$ for all $n \geq n_0$. Since μ is non-atomic, then there exists a measurable set $F_0 \subset F$ such that $\mu(F_0) = \sum_{n\geq n_0} \frac{1}{n^2}$. Similarly we can find a set $F_1 \in \Sigma, F_1 \subset F_0$ such that $\mu(F_1) = n_0^{-2}$. Since $\mu(F_0 - F_1) > 0$, we can again find $F_2 \in \Sigma, F_2 \subset F_0 - F_1$ such that $\mu(F_2) = (n_0 + 1)^{-2}$. Repeating the process, we find disjoint sets $F_n \in \Sigma, F_n \subset F_{n-2} - F_{n-1}$ such that $\mu(F_n) = (n_0 + n + 1)^{-2}$. Let

$E_k \subset F_k, E_k \in \Sigma$, be chosen such that $\mu(E_k) = \frac{\mu(F_k)}{\phi_1(|x_k|)}$. If we take $f = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n \chi_{E_n}$, then we have;

$$\begin{aligned}\int_X \Phi_1(|f|)d\mu &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\int_X \Phi_1(|x_n|)\chi_{E_n}\\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{n_0}\Phi_1(|x_n|)\mu(E_n) + \sum_{n\geq n_0}\Phi_1(|x_n|)\frac{\mu(F_n)}{\Phi_1(|x_n|)} < \infty.\end{aligned}$$

This means that $f \in L^{\Phi_1}(X)$. Also we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_E \Phi_2(|f|)d\mu &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\Phi_2(|x_n|)\mu(E_n)\\ &> \sum_{n>n_0}^{\infty} n\Phi_1(|x_n|)\mu(E_n)\\ &= \sum_{n>n_0}^{\infty} n\mu(F_n)\\ &= \sum_{n>n_0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{n} = \infty.\end{aligned}$$

This shows that $f \notin L^{\Phi_2}(E)$. □

Now we provide some necessary and sufficient conditions for boundedness of multiplication operators between different Orlicz spaces.

Theorem 2.7. *Let $u \in L^0(\Sigma)$, Φ_1, Φ_2, Φ_3 be Young's functions such that $\Phi_1(xy) \leq \Phi_2(x) + \Phi_3(y)$. If u induces a bounded multiplication operator $M_u : L^{\Phi_1}(\Sigma) \to L^{\Phi_2}(\Sigma)$, then*

- (i) *$u(x) = 0$ for μ-almost all $x \in B$.*
- (ii) $\sup_{n\in N} |u(A_n)|.\Phi_3^{-1}(\frac{1}{\mu(A_n)}) < \infty$.

Proof. Suppose that M_u is bounded. First we prove (i). If $\mu\{x \in B; |u(x)| \neq 0\} > 0$, then there exists a positive constant δ such that $\mu\{x \in B; |u(x)| > \delta\} > 0$. Put $E = \{x \in B; |u(x)| > \delta\}$. Since $\mu(E) > 0$ and E is non-atomic, then by Lemma 2.5 and Proposition 2.6, there exists $f \in L^{\Phi_1}(\Sigma)$ such that $f \notin L^{\Phi_2}(E)$ and so

$$\infty = \int_E \Phi_2(\frac{\delta f(x)}{\| M_u f \|_{\Phi_2}})d\mu \leq \int_X \Phi_2(\frac{u(x).f(x)}{\| M_u f \|_{\Phi_2}})d\mu \leq 1,$$

which is a contraction. Thus (i) holds. Now we prove (ii). For any $n \in N$, put $f_n = \Phi_1^{-1}(\frac{1}{\mu(A_n)})\chi_{A_n}$. It is clear that $f_n \in L^{\Phi_1}(\Sigma)$ and $\| f_n \|_{\Phi_1} = 1$. So we have

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &\geq \int_X \Phi_2(\frac{u(x).f_n(x)}{\| M_u f_n \|_{\Phi_2}})d\mu \\ &= \int_{A_n} \Phi_2(\frac{u(x).\Phi_1^{-1}(\frac{1}{\mu(A_n)})}{\| M_u f_n \|_{\Phi_2}})d\mu \\ &= \Phi_2(\frac{u(A_n).\Phi_1^{-1}(\frac{1}{\mu(A_n)})}{\| M_u f_n \|_{\Phi_2}})\mu(A_n). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\frac{u(A_n).\Phi_1^{-1}(\frac{1}{\mu(A_n)})}{\|M_u f_n\|_{\Phi_2}} \leq \Phi_2^{-1}(\frac{1}{\mu(A_n)})$ and consequently by the proof of Lemma 2.5

$$\begin{aligned} M = \sup_n u(A_n).\Phi_3^{-1}(\frac{1}{\mu(A_n)}) \\ &\leq 2 \| M_u f_n \|_{\Phi_2} \\ &\leq 2\|M_u\| < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. □

Corollary 2.8. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 2.7, if* (X, Σ, μ) *is a non-atomic measure space, then the multiplication operator* M_u *from* $L^{\Phi_1}(\Sigma)$ *into* $L^{\Phi_2}(\Sigma)$ *is bounded if and only if* $M_u = 0$.

Theorem 2.9. *Let* $u \in L^0(\Sigma)$, Φ_1, Φ_2, Φ_3 *be Young's functions such that* $\Phi_1, \Phi_2 \in \triangle'$ *and* $\Phi_2 \circ \Phi_1^{-1}$ *be a Young's function. Then* u *induces a bounded multiplication operator* $M_u : L^{\Phi_1}(\Sigma) \to L^{\Phi_2}(\Sigma)$, *if*

(i) $u(x) = 0$ *for* μ*-almost all* $x \in B$.
(ii) $\sup_{n\in N} \Phi_2[\frac{u(A_n)}{\Phi_1^{-1}(\mu(A_n))}]\mu(A_n) < \infty$.

Proof. Suppose that (i) and (ii) hold. Put $\sup_{n\in N}\Phi_2[\frac{u(A_n)}{\Phi_1^{-1}(\mu(A_n))}]\mu(A_n)=M$. Then for each $f\in L^{\Phi_1}(X)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_X \Phi_2(M_uf)d\mu &= \int_{x\in B}\Phi_2(u(x).f(x))d\mu+\int_{x\in\bigcup A_n}\Phi_2(u(x).f(x))d\mu\\ &=\sum_{n\in N}\int_{x\in A_n}\Phi_2(u(x).f(x))d\mu\\ &=\sum_{n\in N}\Phi_2(u(A_n).f(A_n))\mu(A_n)\\ &=\sum_{n\in N}\Phi_2[\Phi_1^{-1}(\mu(A_n).\Phi_1^{-1}\circ\Phi_1(f(A_n))\frac{u(A_n)}{\Phi_1^{-1}(\mu(A_n))}]\mu(A_n)\\ &\leq b.\sum_{n\in N}\Phi_2\circ\Phi_1^{-1}[c\mu(A_n).\Phi_1(f(A_n))].\Phi_2[\frac{u(A_n)}{\Phi_1^{-1}(\mu(A_n))}]\mu(A_n)\\ &\leq b.\sum_{n\in N}\Phi_2\circ\Phi_1^{-1}[c\mu(A_n).\Phi_1(f(A_n))].M\\ &\leq bM.\Phi_2\circ\Phi_1^{-1}[c\sum_{n\in N}\mu(A_n).\Phi_1(f(A_n))].\end{aligned}$$

Since $\| f \|_{\Phi_1}\leq 1$,Therefor we get that

$$\int_X \Phi_2(M_uf)d\mu\leq bM.\Phi_2\circ\Phi_1^{-1}(c)<\infty.$$

This implies that $\|M_u(f)\|_{\Phi_2}\leq bM.\Phi_2\circ\Phi_1^{-1}(c)+1$ and so M_u is bounded.

□

In the sequel we give some necessary and sufficient conditions under which the composition operator C_T is a bounded operator between different Orlicz space.

Theorem 2.10. *Let $T : X\to X$ be a non-singular measurable transformation and Φ_1,Φ_2 be Young's functions such that $\Phi_2\not\prec\Phi_1$. If T induces the composition operator $C_T : L^{\Phi_1}(\Sigma)\to L^{\Phi_2}(\Sigma)$, then*

(i) *$f_0(x)=0$ for μ-almost all $x\in B$.*
(ii) $\sup_{n\in N}\frac{\Phi_1^{-1}(\frac{1}{\mu(A_n)})}{\Phi_2^{-1}(\frac{1}{f_0(A_n)\mu(A_n)})}<\infty$.

Proof. If $\mu(\{x\in B; f_0(x)\neq 0\})>0$, then there exists a positive constant δ such that $\mu(\{x\in B; f_0(x)>\delta\})>0$. Let $E=\{x\in B; f_0(x)>\delta\}$. Since $\mu(E)>0$ and E is non-atomic, by Lemma 2.5 and Proposition 2.6, there exists $f\in L^{\Phi_1}(\Sigma)$ such that $f \notin L^{\Phi_2}(E)$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}\infty &= \int_E \delta\Phi_2(\frac{f(x)}{\parallel C_T f \parallel_{\Phi_2}})d\mu\\ &\leq \int_E f_0(x)\Phi_2(\frac{f(x)}{\parallel C_T f \parallel_{\Phi_2}})d\mu\\ &\leq \int_X \Phi_2(\frac{C_T f(x)}{\parallel C_T f \parallel_{\Phi_2}})d\mu\\ &\leq 1,\end{aligned}$$

which is a contraction. Now we prove (ii), for this we set $f_n = \Phi_1^{-1}(\frac{1}{\mu(A_n)})\chi_{A_n}$. It is clear that $f_n \in L^{\Phi_1}(\Sigma)$ and $\parallel f_n \parallel_{\Phi_1} = 1$. Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned}1 &\geq \int_X \Phi_2(\frac{C_T f_n(x)}{\parallel C_T f_n \parallel_{\Phi_2}})d\mu\\ &= \int_{A_n} f_0(x)\Phi_2(\frac{\Phi_1^{-1}(\frac{1}{\mu(A_n)})}{\parallel C_T f_n \parallel_{\Phi_2}})d\mu\\ &= f_0(A_n)\Phi_2(\frac{\Phi_1^{-1}(\frac{1}{\mu(A_n)})}{\parallel C_T f_n \parallel_{\Phi_2}})\mu(A_n).\end{aligned}$$

Then we get that $\frac{\Phi_1^{-1}(\frac{1}{\mu(A_n)})}{\|C_T f_n\|_{\Phi_2}} \leq \Phi_2^{-1}(\frac{1}{f_0(A_n)\mu(A_n)})$, this implies that

$$\sup_{n\in N} \frac{\Phi_1^{-1}(\frac{1}{\mu(A_n)})}{\Phi_2^{-1}(\frac{1}{f_0(A_n)\mu(A_n)})} \leq \|C_T\| < \infty$$

and so (ii) holds. □

Theorem 2.11. *Let $T : X \to X$ be a non-singular measurable transformation, $\Phi_1, \Phi_2 \in \triangle'$. and $\Phi_2 \circ \Phi_1^{-1}$ be a Young's function. Then T induces a the composition operator $C_T : L^{\Phi_1}(X) \to L^{\Phi_2}(X)$, if*

- (i) $f_0(x) = 0$ *for μ-almost all $x \in B$.*
- (ii) $\sup_{n\in N} \Phi_2[\frac{1}{\Phi_1^{-1}(\mu(A_n))}]f_0(A_n)\mu(A_n) < \infty$.

Proof. Suppose that (i) and (ii) hold. Put $\sup_{n\in N}\Phi_2[\frac{1}{\Phi_1^{-1}(\mu(A_n)})]f_0(A_n)\mu(A_n)=M$. Then for each $f\in L^{\Phi_1}(X)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_X \Phi_2(C_Tf)d\mu &= \int_{x\in B} f_0(x)\Phi_2(f(x))d\mu + \int_{x\in\bigcup A_n} f_0(x)\Phi_2(f(x))d\mu\\ &= \sum_{n\in N}\int_{x\in A_n} f_o(x)\Phi_2(f(x))d\mu\\ &= \sum_{n\in N} f_o(A_n)\Phi_2(f(A_n))\mu(A_n)\\ &= \sum_{n\in N} f_o(A_n)\Phi_2[\Phi_1^{-1}(\mu(A_n).\Phi_1^{-1}\circ\Phi_1(f(A_n))\frac{1}{\Phi_1^{-1}(\mu(A_n))}]\mu(A_n)\\ &\le b.\sum_{n\in N} f_o(A_n)\Phi_2\circ\Phi_1^{-1}[c\mu(A_n).\Phi_1(f(A_n))].\Phi_2[\frac{1}{\Phi_1^{-1}(\mu(A_n))}]\mu(A_n)\\ &\le b.\sum_{n\in N}\Phi_2\circ\Phi_1^{-1}[c\mu(A_n).\Phi_1(f(A_n))].M\\ &\le bM.\Phi_2\circ\Phi_1^{-1}[c\sum_{n\in N}\mu(A_n).\Phi_1(f(A_n))].\end{aligned}$$

Since $\| f \|_{\Phi_1}\le 1$, then we get that

$$\int_X \Phi_2(C_Tf)d\mu \le bM.\Phi_2\circ\Phi_1^{-1}(c)<\infty$$

This implies that $\|C_T(f)\|_{\Phi_2}\le(bM.\Phi_2\circ\Phi_1^{-1}(c)+1)$ and so C_T is bounded.

□

Theorem 2.12. *Let $T:X\to X$ be a non-singular measurable transformation, $\Phi_2\in\triangle'$ and $\Phi_1(xy)\le\Phi_2(x)+\Phi_3(y)$ and*

(i) *T induces a the composition operator $C_T:L^{\Phi_1}(\Sigma)\to L^{\Phi_2}(\Sigma)$.*
(ii) *$\mu T^{-1}(B)=0$ and there is a constant M such that $\Phi_1^{-1}(\frac{1}{\mu(A_n)})\le M\Phi_2^{-1}(\frac{1}{\mu T^{-1}(A_n)})$.*
(iii) *$f_0(x)=0$ for μ-almost all $x\in B$ and $\sup_{n\in N}f_0(A_n).\Phi_2\Phi_3^{-1}(\frac{1}{\mu(A_n)})<\infty$.*
Then $i\Rightarrow ii,iii$ and $ii\Rightarrow iii$

Proof. (i)$\Rightarrow(ii,iii)$. Since C_T is a composition operator, then for every $n\in\mathbb{N}$ and $y\ge 0$ there exists $K,M>0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{A_n}\Phi_2(y)f_0(A_n)-\Phi_1(Ky)d\mu<M &\Longrightarrow \forall n\in\mathbb{N},[\Phi_2(y)f_0(A_n)-\Phi_1(Ky)]\mu(A_n)<M\\ &\Longrightarrow \forall n\in\mathbb{N},y\ge 0,\Phi_2(y)f_0(A_n)<M\\ &\Longrightarrow \forall n\in\mathbb{N},\Phi_2(\Phi_3^{-1}(\frac{1}{\mu(A_n)}))f_0(A_n)<M.\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.5 and Theorem 2.10 we get that $f_0(x) = 0$ for μ-almost all $x \in B$, so we have (iii). For the implication (i)$\Rightarrow (ii)$, since C_T is bounded, then for a M' and all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\| C_T(\chi_{A_n}) \|_{\Phi_2} \leq \| C_T \| \, . \, \| \chi_{A_n} \|_{\Phi_1}$$

and so

$$\Phi_1^{-1}(\frac{1}{\mu(A_n)}) \leq M' \Phi_2^{-1}(\frac{1}{\mu T^{-1}(A_n)}).$$

Again, by Lemma 2.5 and Theorem 2.10, we have $f_0(x) = 0$ for μ-almost all $x \in B$ and so $\mu T^{-1}(B) = 0$. Finally we show that (ii)$\Rightarrow (iii)$. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_1^{-1}(\frac{1}{\mu(A_n)}) &\leq M' \Phi_2^{-1}(\frac{1}{\mu T^{-1}(A_n)}) \\ &= M' \Phi_2^{-1}(\frac{1}{f_0(A_n)\mu(A_n)}) \\ &\leq M' \frac{\Phi_2^{-1}(\frac{1}{\mu(A_n)})}{\Phi_2^{-1}(\frac{f_0(A_n)}{b})}.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\Phi_2^{-1}(\frac{f_0(A_n)}{b}).\Phi_3^{-1}(\frac{1}{\mu(A_n)}) < 2M',$$

and so

$$\sup_{n \in N} \Phi_2^{-1}(f_0(A_n)).\Phi_3^{-1}(\frac{1}{\mu(A_n)}) < \infty.$$

Hence by basic analysis information we get that $\sup\limits_{n \in N} \Phi_2^{-1}(f_0(A_n) < \infty$ and $\sup\limits_{n \in N} \Phi_3^{-1}(\frac{1}{\mu(A_n)}) < \infty$. Finally we conclude that

$$\sup_{n \in N} f_0(A_n).\Phi_2(\Phi_3^{-1}(\frac{1}{\mu(A_n)})) < \infty.$$

This completes the proof. □

Lemma 2.13. *Let Φ_1, Φ_2 be Young's functions and $\Phi_2 \in \bigtriangledown'$, then for every $f \in \mathcal{D}(M_{\Phi^{-1}(f_0)}) \subseteq L^{\Phi_1}(\Sigma)$ we get that*

$$\|C_T(f)\|_{\Phi_2} \leq b \|M_{\Phi_2^{-1}(f_0)} f\|_{\Phi_2}.$$

Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{D}(M_{\Phi_2^{-1}(f_0)}) \subseteq L^{\Phi_1}(\Sigma)$, the by definition of $\|.\|_{\Phi_2}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|C_T(f)\|_{\Phi_2} &= \inf\{k : \int_X \Phi_2(\frac{f(T(x))}{k})d\mu \leq 1\} \\
&= \inf\{k : \int_X f_0(x)\phi_2(\frac{f(x)}{k})d\mu \leq 1\} \\
&= \inf\{k : \int_X \Phi_2(\Phi_2^{-1}(f_0(x)))\Phi_2(\frac{f(x)}{k})d\mu \leq 1\} \\
&\leq \inf\{k : \int_X \Phi_2(\frac{b\Phi_2^{-1}(f_0(x))f(x)}{k})d\mu \leq 1\} \\
&\leq b\inf\{k/b : \int_X \Phi_2(\frac{\Phi_2^{-1}(f_0(x))f(x)}{k/b})d\mu \leq 1\} \\
&= b\|M_{\Phi_2^{-1}(f_0)}f\|.
\end{aligned}$$

So we have

$$\|C_T(f)\|_{\Phi_2} \leq b\|M_{\Phi_2^{-1}(f_0)}f\|_{\Phi_2}.$$

□

Lemma 2.14. *Let $\Phi_1.\Phi_2$ be Young's functions and $\Phi_2 \in \triangle'$, then for every $f \in \mathcal{D}(C_T) \subseteq L^{\Phi_1}(\Sigma)$ we have*

$$\|M_{\Phi_2^{-1}(f_0)}f\| \leq c\|C_T(f)\|_{\Phi_2}.$$

Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{D}(C_T) \subseteq L^{\Phi_1}(\Sigma)$ and $c \geq 1$ for the definition, $\Phi_2(xy) \leq c\Phi_2(x)\Phi_2(y)$, then we have;

$$\begin{aligned}
\|M_{\Phi_2^{-1}(f_0)}f\| &= \inf\{k : \int_X \Phi_2(\frac{\Phi_2^{-1}(f_0(x))f(x)}{k})d\mu \leq 1\} \\
&\leq \inf\{k : \int_X c\Phi_2(\Phi_2^{-1}(f_0(x)))\Phi_2(\frac{f(x)}{k})d\mu \leq 1\} \\
&\leq \inf\{k : \int_X cf_0(x))\Phi_2(\frac{f(x)}{k})d\mu \leq 1\} \\
&= \inf\{k : \int_X c\Phi_2(\frac{(f \circ T)(x)}{k})d\mu \leq 1\} \\
&= c\inf\{k/c : \int_X \Phi_2(\frac{(f \circ T)(x)}{k/c})d\mu \leq 1\} \\
&= c\|C_T(f)\|_{\Phi_2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence the proof is completed. □

Here we recall a definition that came in [9]. For any $F \in \Sigma$, we put

$$Q_T(F) = \inf\{b \geq 0 : \mu \circ T^{-1}(E) \leq b\mu(E) \quad (E \in \Sigma)\}.$$

Then we have the following two lemmas.

Lemma 2.15. [9] *For any $F \in \Sigma$, we have $Q_T(F) = ess.sup_{x\in F} f_0(x)$.*

Lemma 2.16. *Let Φ_2, Φ_3 be Young's functions, then we have*

$$\int_X \Phi_3 \circ \Phi_2^{-1}(f_0) d\mu = \inf\{\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \Phi_3 \circ \Phi_2^{-1}(Q_T(F_j))\mu(F_j); \{F_j\} \in \mathcal{P}_X\},$$

where $\mathcal{P}_X$ is the set of all partitions of X.

Proof. Let $I = \inf\{\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \Phi_3 \circ \Phi_2^{-1}(Q_T(F_j))\mu(F_j) : \{F_j\} \in \mathcal{P}_X\}$. For the partition $\{F_j\}$ of X, by using Lemma 2.15 we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_X \Phi_3 \circ \Phi_2^{-1}(f_0(x)) d\mu &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{F_j} \Phi_3 \circ \Phi_2^{-1}(f_0(x)) d\mu \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \Phi_3 \circ \Phi_2^{-1}(ess.sup_{x\in F_j} f_0(x))\mu(F_j) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \phi_3 \circ \phi_2^{-1}(Q_T(F_j))\mu(F_j).\end{aligned}$$

Then we get that

$$\int_X \Phi_3 \circ \Phi_2^{-1}(f_0(x)) d\mu \leq I.$$

Conversely; let $a > 1$ be arbitrarily and set

$$G_m = \{x \in X; a^{m-1} \leq \Phi_3 \circ \Phi_2^{-1}(f_0) < a^m\}$$

for each integer m. If $\{F_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ is a rearrangement of $\{G_j\}_{m=-\infty}^{\infty}$ and $\{x \in X : f_0(x) = 0\}$, then $\{F_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ clearly becomes a partition of X. Therefore by the Lemma

2.15 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
I &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \Phi_3 \circ \Phi_2^{-1}(Q_T(F_j))\mu(F_j)\\
&= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \Phi_3 \circ \Phi_2^{-1}(ess.sup_{x\in F_j} f_0(x))\mu(F_j)\\
&= \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \Phi_3 \circ \Phi_2^{-1}(ess.sup_{x\in F_j} f_0(x))\mu(G_m)\\
&\leq \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} a^m \mu(G_m)\\
&= a \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} a^{m-1} \mu(G_m)\\
&\leq a \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{G_m} \Phi_3 \circ \Phi_2^{-1}(f_0(x))d\mu\\
&= a \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{F_j} \Phi_3 \circ \Phi_2^{-1}(f_0(x))d\mu\\
&= a \int_X \Phi_3 \circ \Phi_2^{-1}(f_0(x))d\mu.
\end{aligned}$$

Since this inequality holds for any $a > 1$, then proof is completed. □

By using the Theorem 2.3 and Lemma 2.14 we give a necessary condition for boundedness of the composition operator C_T.

Theorem 2.17. *Let $\Phi_2 \in \triangle'$ and T induces a composition operator $C_T : L^{\Phi_1}(\Sigma) \to L^{\Phi_2}(\Sigma)$, then there exists a Young function Φ_3 such that $f_0 \in L^{\Psi_3\circ\Psi_1\circ\Phi_2^{-1}}(\Sigma)$.*

Proof. (i) Since C_T is composition operator, by Lemma 2.14 we get that

$$M_{\Phi_2^{-1}(f_0)} : L^{\Phi_1}(\Sigma) \to L^{\Phi_2}(\Sigma)$$

is multiplication operator. Therefore by Theorem 2.3, for a Φ_3, we have $\Phi_2^{-1}(f_0) \in L^{\Psi_3\circ\Psi_1}$ and so

$$\int_X \Psi_3 \circ \Psi_1(\Phi_2^{-1}(f_0))d\mu < \infty$$

that implies that $f_0 \in L^{\Psi_3\circ\Psi_1\circ\Phi_2^{-1}}(\Sigma)$. □

Theorem 2.18. *$f_0 \in L^{\Phi_3\circ\Phi_2^{-1}}(\Sigma)$ if and only if there exists a partition $\{F_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ of Σ such that $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \Phi_3 \circ \Phi_2^{-1}(Q_T(F_j))\mu(F_j) < \infty$.*

Proof. By Lemma 2.16 it is easy to prove. □

Let $\Phi(x) = \frac{x^p}{p}$ for $x \geq 0$, where $1 < p < \infty$. It is clear that Φ is a Young's function and $\Psi(x) = \frac{x^{p'}}{p'}$, where $1 < p' < \infty$ and $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1$. These observations and Theorems 2.7, 2.10, 2.9, 2.11, 2.12, 2.17, 2.18, give us the next Remark.

Remark 2.19. (a) Let $M_u : \mathcal{D}(M_u) \subseteq L^p(\Sigma) \to L^q(\Sigma)$ be well defined. Then the operator M_u from $L^p(\Sigma)$ into $L^q(\Sigma)$, where $1 < p < q < \infty$, is bounded if and only if the followings hold:

  (i) $u(x) = 0$ for μ-almost all $x \in B$.

  (ii) $\sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \frac{|u(A_n)|^r}{\mu(A_n)} < \infty$, where $q^{-1} + r^{-1} = p^{-1}$.

(b) Let $C_T : \mathcal{D}(C_T) \subseteq L^p(\Sigma) \to L^q(\Sigma)$ be well defined. Then the followings are equivalent:

  (i) C_T is bounded from $L^p(\Sigma)$ into $L^q(\Sigma)$.

  (ii) $f_0(x) = 0$ for μ-almost all $x \in B$ and $\sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \frac{|f_0(A_n)|^p}{\mu(A_n)^{q-p}} < \infty$, where $q^{-1} + r^{-1} = p^{-1}$.

  (iii) $\mu \circ T^{-1}(B) = 0$ and there is a constant k such that $\mu \circ T^{-1}(A_n)^p \leq k\mu(A_n)^q$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

(c) Let $M_u : \mathcal{D}(M_u) \subseteq L^p(\Sigma) \to L^q(\Sigma)$ be well defined. Then the operator M_u from $L^p(\Sigma)$ into $L^q(\Sigma)$, where $1 < q < p < \infty$, is bounded if and only if $u \in L^r(\Sigma)$, where $p^{-1} + r^{-1} = q^{-1}$.

(d) Let $C_T : \mathcal{D}(C_T) \subseteq L^p(\Sigma) \to L^q(\Sigma)$, where $1 < q < p < \infty$, be well defined. Then the followings are equivalent:

  (i) C_T is a bounded operator from $L^p(\Sigma)$ into $L^q(\Sigma)$.

  (ii) $f_0 \in L^{\frac{r}{q}}(\Sigma)$, where $p^{-1} + r^{-1} = q^{-1}$.

  (iii) There exists a partition $\{F_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ of X such that $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} Q_T(F_j)^{\frac{r}{q}} \mu(F_j) < \infty$.

3. Closed range multiplication and composition operators

In this section we are going to investigate closed range multiplication and composition operators between different Orlicz spaces. First we give a fundamental lemma, then we consider the closed range multiplication operator.

Lemma 3.1. *Let* (Φ_i, Ψ_i), $i = 1, 2$ *be two complementary Young's functions pairs such that* $\Psi_2 \circ \Psi_1^{-1}$ *be Young's function. If* $\Psi_1 \in \Delta'$, *then* $\Psi_1(xy) \leq \Psi_2(x) + \Psi_3(\Psi_1(y))$, *for all* $x, y \geq 0$.

Proof. If we take $\Phi_3 = \Psi_2 \circ \Psi_1^{-1}$, then

$$\Psi_3(y) = sup\{xy - \Phi_3(x) : \ x \geq 0\} = sup\{xy - \Psi_2 \circ \Psi_1^{-1}(x) : \ x \geq 0\}.$$

Hence

$$\Psi_3(\Psi_1(y)) = sup\{x\Psi_1(y) - \Psi_2 \circ \Psi_1^{-1}(x) : \ x \geq 0\}$$

and so

$$\Psi_3(\Psi_1(y)) = sup\{\Psi_1(x)\Psi_1(y) - \Psi_2(x) : \ x \geq 0\}.$$

Since $\Psi_1 \in \Delta'$, then we have;

$$\Psi_3(\Psi_1(y)) \geq \Psi_1(xy) - \Psi_2(x),$$

for all $x, y \geq 0$ □

Now we characterize closed range multiplication operators $M_u : L^{\Phi_1}(\Sigma) \to L^{\Phi_2}(\Sigma)$, when $\Phi_2(xy) \leq \Phi_1(x) + \Phi_3(y)$, for all $x \geq 0$.

Theorem 3.2. *Let Φ_i, $i = 1, 2, 3$ be Young's functions such that $\Phi_1 \in \Delta'$ and $\Phi_2(xy) \leq \Phi_1(x) + \Phi_3(y)$, for all $x, y \geq 0$. If $u \in L^{\Phi_3}(\Sigma)$, then $M_u : L^{\Phi_1}(\Sigma) \to L^{\Phi_2}(\Sigma)$ is a multiplication operator and the following cases are equivalent:*

- (a) *$u(x) = 0$ for μ-almost all $x \in B$ and the set $E = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : u(A_n) \neq 0\}$ is finite.*
- (b) *M_u has finite rank.*
- (c) *M_u has closed range.*

Proof. Let $S = S(u)$. Since M_u is a non-zero operator, then $\mu(S) > 0$. First we prove the implication (a)⇒(b). So there exists $r \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$S = \bigcup_{n \in E} A_n = A_{n_1} \bigcup ... \bigcup A_{n_r}.$$

It is clear that the set $\{\chi_{A_{n_1}}, \ldots, \chi_{A_{n_1}}\}$ is a generator of the subspace

$$\{g \in L^{\Phi_2}(X) : g(x) = 0 \text{ for } \mu\text{−almost all } x \in X \setminus S\} \cong L^{\Phi_2}(S).$$

Since $L^{\Phi_2}(S)$ contains $M_u(L^{\Phi_1}(X))$, then M_u has finite rank.

The implication (b)⇒ (c) is obvious. Finally we prove the implication (c)⇒ (a). If $\mu\{x \in B : u(x) \neq 0\} > 0$, then for some $\delta > 0$ we have $\mu\{x \in B : u(x) \geq \delta\} > 0$. Let $G = \{x \in B : u(x) \geq \delta\} > 0$. It is easy to see that $M_{u|_G}$ is a multiplication operator from $L^{\Phi_1}(G)$ into $L^{\Phi_2}(G)$. Also, $M_{u|_G}(L^{\Phi_1}(G)) = L^{\Phi_2}(G)$, since for every measurable subset A of G with $\mu(A) < \infty$ and $f_A = \frac{1}{u}\chi_A$ we have

$$\int_G \Phi_1(f_A) d\mu = \int_A \Phi_1(\frac{1}{u(x)}) d\mu \leq \Phi_1(\frac{1}{\delta})\mu(A) < \infty.$$

Hence $f_A = \frac{1}{u}\chi_A \in L^{\Phi_1}(\Sigma)$ and $M_{u|_G}(f_A) = \chi_A$. This implies that $M_{u|_G}(L^{\Phi_1}(G)) = L^{\Phi_2}(G)$ and so $M_{u|_G}$ is invertible and its inverse operator is a multiplication operator as follows:

$$M_{\frac{1}{u}} : L^{\Phi_2}(G) \to L^{\Phi_1}(G), \quad M_{\frac{1}{u}}(f) = \frac{1}{u}.f.$$

By Theorem 2.7, we have $\frac{1}{u(x)} = 0$ for $\mu-$ almost all $x \in G$, which is impossible. This contradiction implies that $u(x) = 0$ for $\mu-$ almost all $x \in B$. Now we show that E is finite. Clearly $S = \bigcup_{n\in E} A_n$ and $E \neq \varnothing$. If we define $M_{\frac{1}{u}} : L^{\Phi_2}(S) \to L^{\Phi_1}(S)$ once more, similar to the previous case, $M_{\frac{1}{u}}$ is a multiplication operator.

So by Theorem 2.7,

$$\sup_{n\in N} \frac{1}{u(A_n)}.\Phi_3^{-1}(\frac{1}{\mu(A_n)}) \leq \infty.$$

Let $C = \sup_{n\in N} \frac{1}{u(A_n)}.\Phi_3^{-1}(\frac{1}{\mu(A_n)})$. It is clear that $C > 0$. Since $E \neq \varnothing$, and for all $n \in E$, $1 \leq \Phi_3(Cu(A_n)).\mu(A_n)$, Then we get that

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{n\in E} 1 &\leq \sum_{n\in E} \Phi_3(Cu(A_n)).\mu(A_n)\\ &= \sum_{n\in E} \int_{A_n} \Phi_3(Cu(x))d\mu\\ &\leq \int_X \Phi_3(Cu(x))d\mu < \infty.\end{aligned}$$

This implies that E should be finite. □

In the next theorem we characterize closed range multiplication operators $M_u : L^{\Phi_1}(\Sigma) \to L^{\Phi_2}(\Sigma)$, when $\Phi_1(xy) \leq \Phi_2(x) + \Phi_3(y)$, for all $x \geq 0$.

Theorem 3.3. *Let Φ_1, Φ_2, Φ_3 be Young's functions such that $\Phi_1(xy) \leq \Phi_2(x) + \Phi_3(y)$ for all $x, y \geq 0$ and $\Phi_2 \in \Delta'$. If $M_u : L^{\Phi_1}(\Sigma) \to L^{\Phi_2}(\Sigma)$ is a multiplication operator and $\frac{1}{u} \in L^{\Phi_3}(\Sigma)$, then the following cases are equivalent:*

(a) *the set $E = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : u(A_n) \neq 0\}$ is finite.*
(b) *M_u has finite rank.*
(c) *M_u has closed range.*

Proof. By Theorem 2.7 we have $u(x) = 0$ for μ-almost all $x \in B$. The implications (a)⇒ (b) and (b)⇒ (c) is simillar to Theorem 3.2. Now we prove the implication (c)⇒ (a). Let $S = \bigcup_{n\in E} A_n$ and $E \neq \varnothing$. Since $M_u : L^{\Phi_1}(S) \to L^{\Phi_2}(S)$ is bounded, by Theorem 2.7, we have;

$$\sup_{n\in N} u(A_n).\Phi_3^{-1}(\frac{1}{\mu(A_n)}) \leq \infty$$

Let $C = \sup_{n\in N} u(A_n).\Phi_3^{-1}(\frac{1}{\mu(A_n)})$. It is clear that $C > 0$, since $E \neq \varnothing$, and for all $n \in E$, $1 \leq \Phi_3(\frac{C}{u(A_n)}).\mu(A_n)$. Therefor we can write;

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{n\in E} 1 &\leq \sum_{n\in E} \Phi_3(\frac{C}{u(A_n)}).\mu(A_n)\\ &= \sum_{n\in E}\int_{A_n} \Phi_3(\frac{C}{u(A_n)})d\mu\\ &\leq \int_X \Phi_3(\frac{C}{u(A_n)})d\mu < \infty.\end{aligned}$$

This means that E should be finite. □

Here we begin to investigate closed range composition operators between different Orlicz spaces. First we give an elementary lemma.

Lemma 3.4. *Let Φ_1, Φ_2 be Young's functions and T be a non-singular measurable transformation on X such that $C_T : L^{\phi_1}(X) \to L^{\phi_2}(X)$ is a composition operator.If T is surjective, then C_T is injective.*

Proof. It is easy to prove. □

Now we characterize closed range composition operators $C_T : L^{\Phi_1}(\Sigma) \to L^{\Phi_2}(\Sigma)$, when $\Phi_2(xy) \leq \Phi_1(x) + \Phi_3(y)$, for all $x \geq 0$.

Theorem 3.5. *Let Φ_1, Φ_2, Φ_3 be Young's functions such that $\Phi_2(xy) \leq \Phi_1(x) + \Phi_3(y)$ for all $x, y \geq 0$ and T be a surjective non-singular measurable transformation on X. If $C_T : L^{\Phi_1}(\Sigma) \to L^{\Phi_2}(\Sigma)$ is a composition operator, then the following cases are equivalent:*

(a) *C_T has closed range.*
(b) *$f_0(x) = 0$ for μ-almost all $x \in B$, and the set $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : f_0(A_n) \neq 0\}$ is finite.*
(c) *$\mu T^{-1}(B) = 0$, and the set $E_T = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : \mu T^{-1}(A_n) \neq 0\}$ is finite.*
(d) *C_T has finite rank.*

Proof. The implications (b)⇒ (c) and (d)⇒ (a) are obvious. First we prove the implication (a)⇒ (b). Since T is surjective, By Lemma 3.4 C_T is injective and if C_T has closed range, then by the Lemma 2.13 we get that $M_{\Phi_2^{-1}(f_0)}$ has closed range. Hence by Theorem 2.7 we have $\Phi_2^{-1}(f_0)(x) = 0$ for μ-almost all $x \in B$ and $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \Phi_2^{-1}(f_0)(A_n) \neq 0\}$ is finite. Hence $f_0(x) = 0$ for μ-almost all $x \in B$ and the set $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : f_0(A_n) \neq 0\}$ is finite.

Finally we show that the implication (c)⇒ (d) holds. Suppose (c) holds, then it is easy to show that $C_T(L^{\Phi_1}(\Sigma))$ is contained in the subspace generated by

$\{\chi_{T^{-1}(A_n)}\}_{n\in E_T}$. Since E_T is finite, then $C_T(L^{\Phi_1}(\Sigma))$ is finite dimensional and so C_T has finite rank. $\square$

Corollary 3.6. *If X is non-atomic, under the assumptions of Theorem 3.5, there is not any non-zero closed range composition operator from $L^{\Phi_1}(\Sigma)$ into $L^{\Phi_2}(\Sigma)$.*

In the next theorem we characterize closed range composition operators $C_T : L^{\Phi_1}(\Sigma) \to L^{\Phi_2}(\Sigma)$, when $\Phi_1(xy) \leq \Phi_2(x) + \Phi_3(y)$, for all $x, y \geq 0$.

Theorem 3.7. *Let Φ_1, Φ_2, Φ_3 be Young's functions such that $\Phi_2 \in \nabla' \bigcap \triangle_2$ and $\Phi_1(xy) \leq \Phi_2(x) + \Phi_3(y)$, for all $x \geq 0$. If T is a non-singular measurable transformation on X and $C_T : L^{\Phi_1}(\Sigma) \to L^{\Phi_2}(\Sigma)$ is a composition operator, then the followings are equivalent:*

(a) *C_T has closed range.*
(b) *The set $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : f_0(A_n) \neq 0\}$ is finite.*
(c) *The set $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \mu T^{-1}(A_n) \neq 0\}$ is finite.*
(d) *C_T has finite rank.*

Proof. By using Lemma 2.13, Theorem 3.3 and similar method of Theorem 3.5, we get proof. $\square$

In the net remark we derive characterizations of bounded and closed range multiplication and composition operators from our main results.

Remark 3.8. (1) Let multiplication operator M_u from $L^p(\Sigma)$ into $L^q(\Sigma)$, where $1 < p < q < \infty$, be bounded, then the followings are equivalent:
  (a) M_u has closed range.
  (b) M_u has finite rank.
  (c) The set $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : u(A_n) \neq 0\}$ is finite.

(2) If $1 < q < p < \infty$, then for multiplication operator M_u from $L^p(\Sigma)$ into $L^q(\Sigma)$ the followings are equivalent:
  (a) M_u has closed range.
  (b) M_u has finite rank.
  (c) $u(x) = 0$ for μ-almost all $x \in B$, and the set $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : u(A_n) \neq 0\}$ is finite.

(3) Let $C_T : L^p(\Sigma) \to L^q(\Sigma)$, where $1 < p < q < \infty$, be bounded. Then the followings are equivalent:
  (a) C_T has closed range.
  (b) C_T has finite rank.
  (c) The set $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : f_0(A_n) \neq 0\}$ is finite.

   (d) The set $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \mu \circ T^{-1}(A_n) \neq 0\}$ is finite.

(4) If $1 < q < p < \infty$, then for composition operator C_T from $L^p(\Sigma)$ into $L^q(\Sigma)$ the followings are equivalent:
   (a) C_T has closed range.
   (b) C_T has finite rank.
   (c) $f_0(x) = 0$ for μ-almost all $x \in B$, and the set $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : f_0(A_n) \neq 0\}$ is finite.
   (d) $\mu \circ T^{-1}(B) = 0$, and the set $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \mu \circ T^{-1}(A_n) \neq 0\}$ is finite.

Finally we provide some examples to illustrate our main results.

Example 3.9. *If Φ and Ψ are complementary Young's functions. Since $\frac{|x|^p}{p} \leq \frac{1}{p}(\Phi(x) + \Psi(x^{p-1}))$ and $\frac{|x|^p}{p} \leq \frac{1}{p}(\Phi(x^{p-1}) + \Psi(x))$, for $x \geq 0$ and $p > 2$, then by Theorem 2.2 the operators $M_u : L^\Phi(\Sigma) \to L^p(\Sigma)$ and $M_v : L^\Psi(\Sigma) \to L^p(\Sigma)$ are bounded for every $u \in L^\Psi$ and $v \in L^\Phi$ respectively.*

Example 3.10. *Let $X = [a, b]$ $a, b > 1$, $p > 1$ and μ be the Lebesque measure. If we take $\Phi_1(x) = e^{x^p} - x^p - 1$, $\Phi_2(x) = \frac{x^p}{p}$ and $\Phi_3(x) = (1 + x^p)log(1 + x^p) - x^p$. Then easily we get that $\Phi_2(xy) \leq \Phi_1(x) + \Phi_3(y)$. Let $u(x) = \sqrt[p]{x^p - 1}$. It is clear that $\int_X \Phi_3(u(x))d\mu < \infty$. So by Theorem 2.2, M_u is a bounded operator from $L^{\Phi_1}(\Sigma)$ into $L^{\Phi_2}(\Sigma)$.*

Example 3.11. *Suppose $A = (0, a]$, $B = \{lnx : x \in N,\ x > a\}$, $X = A \cup B$, $\Phi(x) = e^x - x - 1$, $\Psi(x) = (1 + x)log(1 + x) - x$ and for every $C \subseteq X$, $\mu(C) = \mu_1(C \cap A]) + \mu_2(C \cap B)$ such that μ_1 is lebesgue measure and $\mu_2(\{lnx\}) = \frac{1}{x^3}$ for $lnx \in B$. If we take $u(x) = \frac{1}{x^2}$, then M_u is not bounded from $L^\Phi(X)$ into $L^\Psi(X)$. Because of for $f(x) = x$ we have:*

$$\begin{aligned}\int_X \Phi(f(x))d\mu &= \int_X e^x - x - 1 d\mu \\ &= \int_A e^x - x - 1 d\mu + \int_B e^x - x - 1 d\mu < \infty.\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}\int_B e^x - x - 1 d\mu &= \sum_{n>a} (e^{lnn} - lnn - 1)(\frac{1}{n^3}) \\ &< \sum_{n>a} \frac{1}{n^2} < \infty\end{aligned}$$

But

$$\begin{aligned}\int_X \Psi(M_u(f(x)))d\mu &= \int_X \Psi(\frac{1}{x})d\mu \\ &= \int_X (1+\frac{1}{x})log(1+\frac{1}{x}) - \frac{1}{x}d\mu \\ &> \int_A log(1+\frac{1}{x}) - \frac{1}{x}d\mu + \int_B PARlog(1+\frac{1}{x}) - \frac{1}{x}d\mu \\ &= xlog(1+\frac{1}{x}) + ln(1+\frac{1}{x}) \mid_0^a + \sum_{n>a} lnn.log(1+\frac{1}{lnn}) + ln(1+\frac{1}{lnn}) \\ &= \infty\end{aligned}$$

Thus by Theorem 2.2, we can conclude that for every Young's function Φ' *such that* $\Psi(xy) \leq \Phi(x) + \Phi'(y)$, *then* $u(x) \notin L^{\Phi'}(\Sigma)$.
Also by Proposition 2.4, there is no non-zero operator M_u *from* $L^{\Phi}(\varSigma)$ *into* $L^{\Psi}(\varSigma)$, *because of* $\Phi(x) < \Psi(x)$ *for* $x \geq 0$.

Example 3.12. *Suppose* $A = [1, a], B = \{n \in N; a < n \leq 10a\}$, $\Phi(x) = e^x - x - 1$, $X = A \cap B$, $\Psi(x) = (1+x)log(1+x)$ *and for every* $C \subseteq X$, $\mu(C) = \mu_1(C \cap A]) + \mu_2(C \cap B)$ *such that* μ_1 *is lebesgue measure and* $\mu_2(\{n\}) = 1$, $n \in B$. *If we take* $u(x) = \frac{1}{x^p}$, $p > 1$, *then* M_u *is bounded from* $L^{\Psi}(\varSigma)$ *into* $L^{\Phi}(\varSigma)$. *Because of if* $f(x) \in L^{\psi}(\varSigma)$,*then;*

$$\int_X \Psi(f)d\mu = \int_X (1+f(x))log(1+f(x))d\mu < \infty,$$

Since $\Phi(x) < \Psi(x)$ *for* $x \geq 0$, *therefore;*

$$\begin{aligned}\int_X \Phi(u(x).f(x))d\mu &= \int_X e^{u(x).f(x)} - u(x)f(x) - 1d\mu \\ &< \int_X (1+u(x).f(x))log(1+u(x).f(x))d\mu \\ &= \int_A (1+f(x))log(1+f(x))d\mu + \int_B (1+f(x))log(1+f(x))d\mu \\ &< \infty.\end{aligned}$$

Also by Theorem 3.2, M_u *has not closed range, since* $\mu\{x \in A; u(x) \neq 0\} \neq 0$. *But if we take* $u(x) = 0$, $x \in X \cap Q^c$ *and* $u(x) = \frac{1}{x^p}, x \in X \cap Q$, *then by Theorem 3.2,* M_u *has closed range.*

REFERENCES

[1] Y. Cui, H. Hudzik, R. Kumar and L. Maligranda, Composition operators in Orlicz spaces, *J. Aust. Math. Soc.* **76** (2004), 189-206.

[2] S. Gupta, B. S Komal and N. Suri, Weighted composition operators on Orlicz spaces, *Int. J. Contemp. Math. Sciences.* **1**, 11-20 (2010).

[3] William E. Hornor and James E. Jamison, Properties of isometry-inducing maps of the unit disc, *Complex Variables Theory Appl.* **38** (1999), 69-84.

[4] B.S. Komal AND S. Gupta, Multiplication operators between Orlicz spaces, *Integral equation and operator theory.* **41** (2001), 324-330.

[5] R. Kumar, Composition operators on Orlicz spaces, Integral Equations Operator Theory **29** (1997), 1722.

[6] M. M. Rao, Convolutions of vector fieldsII: random walk models, *Nonlinear Anal, Theory Methods Appl.* **47** (2001), 3599-3615.

[7] M.M. Rao, Z.D. Ren, *Theory of Orlicz spaces*, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1991.

[8] R. K. Singh, J. S. Manhas, *Composition operators on function spaces*, North-Holland Mathematics Studies, 179, North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1993.

[9] H. Takagi and K. Yokouchi, Multiplication and composition operators between two L^p-spaces, *Contemporary Math.* **232**(1999), 321-338.

[10] A. C. Zaanen, *Integration*, 2nd ed., North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1967.

Y. Estaremi, S. Maghsodi and I. Rahmani
E-mail address: `estaremi@gmail.com`
E-mail address: `mathrahmani@znu.ac.ir`

Department of Mathematics, Payame Noor University (PNU), P. O. Box: 19395-3697, Tehran- Iran

Department of Mathematics, University of Zanjan, Zanjan, Iran

Department of Mathematics,University of Zanjan, Zanjan, Iran